09/24/2007 14:54 PAGE 03/09 2138945482 Case 1:07-cv-00030 Document 1 Filed 09/25/2007 Page 1 of 5 FILED Clerk **District Court** SEP 25 2007 ANNA Y. PARK, CA SBN 164242 For The Northern Mariana Islands U.S. EOUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION (Deputy Clerk) OS ANGELES DISTRICT OFFICE 3 255 East Temple Street, Fourth Floor os Angeles, CA 90012 elephone: (213) 894-1032 acsimile: (213) 894-1301 4 l'elephone: E-Mail: lado.legal@eeoc.gov 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT 7 OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 11 Civil Action No.: CV 07-0030 12 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION. 13 COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL 14 **DEMAND** Plaintiff, 15 VS. 16 17 ROME RESEARCH CORPORATION: and DOES 1-10, Inclusive, 18 19 Defendant(s). 20 21 NATURE OF THE ACTION 22 This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the 23 24

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex and retaliation, and to provide appropriate relief to the Charging Party (the "Claimant"), who was adversely affected by such practices. As alleged with greater particularity in paragraphs 7 through 10 below, the Commission alleges that Defendant subjected the Claimant to a sexually hostile work environment through her immediate supervisor, quid pro quo harassment through that

25

26

27

28

1

supervisor, and retaliation in response to her resisting the egregious sexual harassment to which the supervisor subjected her.

3

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

28

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed 2. within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands.

## **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant Rome Research Corporation ("Employer"), a New York domestic business corporation, has continuously been doing business in the Northern Mariana Islands and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

## STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, the 6. Claimant filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by ][

4 5 б

7 8

10 11

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

Defendant Employer. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

- 7. Since at least September of 2004, Defendant Employer has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its Tinian facility in violation of Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3). The Commission alleges that Defendant Employer subjected the Claimant—an Electrical Equipment Operator at a broadcast transmitting station on Tinian—to a sexually hostile work environment at the hands of her immediate supervisor, including repeated sexual comments, public displays of pornographic videos, inappropriate sexual touching of the Claimant, and multiple rapes. Many of these acts occurred in front of coworkers. The supervisor subjected the Claimant to guid pro quo harassment when he threatened her with suspension and termination should she resist his sexual assaults. The supervisor also retaliated, which included falsifying policy violations and issuing unwarranted disciplinary actions against the Claimant when she attempted to oppose the harassment and inform Defendant Employer that she was pregnant.
- 8. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraph 7 above has been to deprive the Claimant of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee because of her sex and retaliation.
- 9. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 7 and 8 above were intentional.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of the Claimant.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant Employer, its officers, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with  it, from engaging in sexual harassment, from discriminating against any individual because he/she has opposed any unlawful employment practice or participated in the investigation of or proceeding regarding any unlawful employment practice, and from engaging in any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex or retaliation.

- B. Order Defendant Employer to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for women, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order Defendant Employer to make whole the Claimant by providing appropriate backpay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant Employer to make whole the Claimant by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 7, 8, 9, and 10 above, including relocation expenses, job search expenses, and medical and counseling expenses not covered by Defendant Employer's employee benefit plan, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant Employer to make whole the Claimant by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 7, 8, 9, and 10 above, including emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant Employer to pay the Claimant punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct described in paragraphs 7, 8, 9, and 10 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.